POLICE CRIMINAL COMPLAINT COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA **CLEARFIELD** VS. COUNTY OF: (NAME and ADDRESS): 46-3-02 Magisterial District Number: DEFENDANT: GOODCO MECHANICAL J. MICHAEL MORRIS MDJ Name: Hon. 900 LEONARD STREET Address: Last Name First Name Middle Name CLEARFIELD, PA 16830 1155 BENNER PIKE STATE COLLEGE, PA 16801 814-765-5335 Telephone: 814-238-8180 NCIC EXTRADITION CODE TYPE C-Misdemeanor Surrounding States Distance: 🔀 1-Felony Full 5-Felony Pend. D-Misdemeanor No Extradition 6-Felony Pend. Extradition Determ.] 2-Felony Ltd. E-Misdemeanor Pending 3-Felony Surrounding States A-Misdemeanor Full F-Misdemeanor Pending Extradition Determ. 4-Felony No Ext. B-Misdemeanor Limited DEFENDANT IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION Complaint/Incident Number Request Lab Services? Date Filed OTN/LiveScan Number Docket Number ☐YES ☐NO PA17-111873 09/27/19 Co-Defendant(s) GENDER Add'I DOB DOB POB ☐ Male First Name: Middle Name: Last Name: Gen. AKA 🔲 Female RACE Black Native American Unknown ☐ White Asian Unknown **ETHNICITY** Non-Hispanic ☐ Hispanic BRO (Brown) BLU (Blue) PLE (Purple) HAIR COLOR RED (Red / Aubn.) SDY (Sandy) GRY (Gray) ONG (Orange) GRN (Green) PNK (Pink) WHI (White) XXX (Unk. / Bald) BLK (Black) BLN (Bionde / Strawberry) EYE COLOR BRO (Brown) GRN (Green) GRY (Gray) BLU (Blue) BLK (Black) PNK (Pink) MUL (Multicolored) XXX (Unknown) HAZ (Hazel) MAR (Maroon) WEIGHT (lbs.) DNA DNA Location YES 🗌 NO **MNU Number** Ft. HEIGHT In. **FBI Number Defendant Fingerprinted**] YES [] NO Fingerprint Classification: **DEFENDANT VEHICLE INFORMATION** Comm'l Veh. Ind. School Veh. Oth, NCIC Veh, Code Registration Sticker (MM/YY) Plate# Hazmat Reg. П same as Def. VIN Mode! Style Color Office of the Attorney for the Commonwealth Approved Disapproved because: (Name of Atlorney for Commonwealth - Please Print or Type) (Signature of Allorney for Commonwealth) 00486904/08189 (PSP/MPOETC - Assigned Affant ID Number & Bedge #) I, TPR. JEFFREY WALTERS of the Pennsylvania State Police, Organized Crime Task Force do hereby state: (check appropriate box) 1. X I accuse the above named defendant who lives at the address set forth above I accuse the defendant whose name is unknown to me but who is described as l accuse the defendant whose name and popular designation or nickname is unknown to me and whom I have therefore designated as John Doe or Jane Doe. LAWRENCE TOWNSHIP with violating the penal laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at: (Subdivision Code) (Place-Political Subdivision)

on or about 10/01/14-03/17/19

(Offense Date)

(County Code)

In CLEARFIELD County



-10°	CR 508-19			ite Filed: /29/19		LiveScan Number 7 <i>536</i> 8/-5	·					1918111187 7-11187	3
		nt Name		st: DODCO MEC	HANIC	AL.	Middle:		•		Last	•	
appi (Set foi	ropriate th a brief s ary case, yo pate	e. Whe summary of ou must cite Atte	n there	is more the stricted in the section (s) and su	ian one the defendent obsection(s citation	- offense each.	offense shi ense(s) charged, A ence(s) allegedly vi	OUI Citati Iolate	d be ron to the	iumbe statute(s)	ered chron	d, without r	1010, 10 110, 04110101111 111 1
 Lead?	,	1	4107	(a)(6)	of the	Title 18 , PA Crim	es Code		1	F3			110
	Offens	e#	Section	Subsection		PA Statute (Title)			Counts	Grade	NCIC Offense	Codé	UCR/NIBRS Code
	-	DOT Data oplicable)		· Accident Number		☐ Inter	state			Safety Z	one	· [Work Zone
Sta Dec	tute D	escripti or Fra	on (inc udule	lude the nant Busine	ame of ss Pra	statute or ordir ctices	nance):		,				
IN 7 on a did	THAT, a false require	on or a or misi Good	bout sa eading oco Me	written sta chanical, l	HE DE atemer nc. en	FENDANT, in the forther the surpose	e of obtain ely record o	ing clas	prope sifica	erty oi tion o	r credit; T0	D WIL,	others to rely The Defendant hile working on
		IΓ Att	,							•			
inchd Offer		 →	empt 901 A	Soli	citation 02 A	Conspirac 18 903	y		lumbe	r of Vic	tims Age 60	or Olde	r: 0
		 →	empt	Soli	citation		y	<u> </u>	Numbe	r of Vic	tims Age 60	or Olde	r: 0
	ise	 →	empt	Soli	citation				Numbe	F3		,	060/23A
Offer	ise	18:	3921	(a) Soli	oltation 02 A of the	18 903				F3	NCIC Offense	,	
Offer	Offens	18:	3921	Soli 18 9	of the	18 903 Title 18 , PA Crim	nes Code		9 Counts	F3	NCIC Offense	,	060/23A
Offer Lead?	Offens Penn (If a)	2 e # nDOT Data pplicable)	3921 Section	(a) Subsection Accident	of the	Title 18 , PA Crim PA Statute (Title) Inter	nes Code		9 Counts	F3 Grade	NCIC Offense	,	060/23A UCR/NIBRS Code



Complaint/Incident Number PA17-111873
Last:
Act of Assembly or statute allegedly violated, if nould be numbered chronologically. A citation to the statute(s) allegedly violated, without more, is not sufficient. In a piolated. Number of Victims Age 60 or Older: 0
9 F3 110/26A
Counts Grade NCIC Offense Code UCR/NIBRS Code
Safety Zone Work Zone
ally obtain or withhold property of another by obtained or withheld U.S. Currency in the form ployees working prevailing wage jobs, as reinforcing a false impression, in violation of
Number of Victims Age 60 or Older: 0
9 F3 130/280
Counts Grade NCIC Offense Code UCR/NIBRS Code
Safety Zone Work Zone
ally receive, retain or dispose of movable



H P	ket Nu	mber: 8–19		ate Filed: 9/27/19	òτ		Scan Number 3681-5	•				F	omplaint A17-1118	Vincident Number 373
Def	endan	t Name		rst: OODCO M	ECHAN	CAL		Middle:				Ĺ	ast:	<u>.</u> ,
appro Set forth	priate a <i>brief</i> su case, you	o. Whe ummary of u must cite	n there the facts s	is more ufficient to advi	than o	ne of indant of the n(s) of the	fense each	offense s ense(s) charged, ince(s) allegedly	hou A cita Violai	Id be r tion to the ed.	numbe statute(s	ered ch) allegedly vi	Onologi plated, withou	
Offense	. i		901 A	L	8 902 A		18 903		L	Numbe	r of Vic	tims Age	60 or Old	ler: 0
Lead?	Offense	-5	3927 Section	(a)	of the		e 18 , PA Crim	es Code		9 Counts	F3 Grade	NCIC Offe	nse Code	120/270 UCR/NIBRS Code
	PennE	OOT Data plicable)		Accid Numi			☐ Inter	state			Safety Z	one		☐ Work Zone
Statu	ite De	script ailure	ion (ind	lude the	name	of sta	tute or ordin	iance): nds Rece	eive	d				
own r	ropei	rtv to t					or ourse, are	703KON N	VIII	oucer j	nopei	talaad	He Cu	ds or from his
of wa descr his ov Code	ges a ibed i vn an	nd frin in the a d did f	ige ber attache ail to n	rved in a nefits owe ed Sched nake the	n equived to Gule of Control require	valent code Count d pay	t amount; TC o Mechanica is 29-37, and oment or dis	O WIT, Thal, Inc. end did interposition, i	ne D nplo ntior	efenda yees v nally de	ant ob vorkin sal wi	otained g preva th the p	U.S. Cu illing wa roperty	rrency in the form
of wa descr	ges a ibed i vn an	nd fring n the did f	ige ber attache	erved in a nefits owe ed Sched nake the	n equived to Gule of (valent code Count d pay	t amount; T0 o Mechanica s 29-37, and	O WIT, Thal, Inc. end did interposition, i	ne D nplo ntior	efenda yees v nally de olation	ant obvorking all will will will be seen to the seen t	otained ag preva th the p action 3	U.S. Cu illing wa roperty	rrency in the form ge jobs, as so obtained as of the PA Crimes
of wa descr his ov Code	ges a ibed i vn an	nd frin n the a d did f	nge ber attache fail to n	erved in a nefits owe ed Sched nake the	n equived to Gule of (valent coodc Count ed pay	t amount; TC o Mechanica is 29-37, and ment or dis	O WIT, Thal, Inc. end did interposition, I	ne D nplo ntior	efenda yees v nally de olation	ant ok vorking al wing of Se r of Vio	otained g prevaith the p ection 3 ctims Age	U.S. Cu illing wa roperty 927(a) o	rrency in the form ge jobs, as so obtained as of the PA Crimes
of wa descr his ov Code Inchoat Offense	ges a ibed i vn an . e Offense	nd frin n the a d did f	age ber attache ail to n empt 901 A	erved in a nefits owe ad Sched nake the	n equived to Gule of Crequire	valent coodc Count ed pay	t amount; TC to Mechanica ts 29-37, and tyment or dis Conspirate 18 903	O WIT, Thal, Inc. end did interposition, I	ne D nplo ntior	efenda yees v nally de olation Numbe	ant ok vorking al wing of Se r of Vio	otained og preva oth the p ection 3 ctims Age	U.S. Cu illing wa roperty 927(a) o	rrency in the form ge jobs, as so obtained as of the PA Crimes der: 0
of wa descr his ov Code Inchoat Offense	ges a ibed i vn an e Offense Pennt (if app	nd frin in the a did f	age berattacherail to n empt 901 A 3921 Section	erved in a nefits owe ed Sched nake the	n equived to Gule of (require olicitation a 902 A of the olicitation o	valent loodc Count ed pay Titti PAS	t amount; TC o Mechanica s 29-37, and ment or dis Conspirac 18 903 e 18, PA Crim talute (Title)	O WIT, The land of	ne D nplo ntior	efenda yees v nally de olation Numbe	ant ok vorkin eal wi of Se r of Vio	otained og preva oth the p ection 3 ctims Age	U.S. Cu illing wa roperty 927(a) o	rrency in the form ge jobs, as so obtained as of the PA Crimes ler: 0 060/23A UCR/NIBRS Code



109	Docket Number: <u>CR 508-19</u>		1	te Filed: /27/19	1 .	/LiveScan Number 7 <i>53</i> 681–5	1				mplaint/l 17-11187	ncident Number '3
	·	nt Name	Fir .GC	st: DODCO ME	CHANIC	AL .	Middle:	<u></u> -	,	Las	st:	
appro Set forth	priate	e. When	n there	is more inficient to adviso section(s) and	than on e the defend subsection(s	described below very e offense, each content of the nature of the offense) of the statute(s) or ordinan	offense sho se(s) charged. A	OUID DE	չ ոսու	erea cnro	nologici	ally.
Inchoat Offense		<u> </u>	mpt 101 A	ļ1	902 A	Conspiracy 18 903		Num	er of V	ctims Age 6	0 or Olde	r: 0
 _ead?		7	3922	(a)(1)	of the	Title 18, PA Crime	s Code	13	M1	NOIO Offere	- Codo	110/26A UCR/NIBRS Code
	Offense		Section	Subsection Accide	ni I	PA Statute (Tille)	· 1	Coun	ė Grade	NCIC Offense	3 C008	GOLMAIDUS COM
		DOT Data plicable)		Numbe		inters	tate	[] Safety	Zone		Work Zone
		escripti Decept		lude the	name o	f statute or ordina	ance):					
ot wa		1	rcıng a	false imp	pression	n: TO WIT. The D	efendant (obtaine	ed or w	ithheld U.	S. Curre	of another by ency in the form
desci Secti Inchoal	ibed on 39	and frin in the a 222(a)(ge ber attache 1) of th	nefits owe od Schedu e PA Crir	oression of to Go ule of C mes Co olicitation	n; TO WIT, The Doodco Mechanica ounts 51-63, by c	efendant (I. Inc. emi	obtaine ployee reinfo	ed or w s work roing a	ithheld U. ing prevail	S. Curro ling wag ression,	ency in the forr ge jobs, as , in violation of
desci	ibed on 39	and frin in the a 222(a)(ge ber attache 1) of th	nefits owe od Schedu e PA Crir	oression of to Go ule of C nes Co	n; TO WIT, The D oodco Mechanica ounts 51-63, by c de.	efendant (I. Inc. emi	obtaine ployee reinfo	ed or w s work roing a	ithheld U. ing prevall false imp	S. Curro ling wag ression,	ency in the form ge jobs, as , in violation of
desci Secti	ibed on 39	and frin in the a 222(a)(ge ber attache 1) of th	nefits owe od Schedu e PA Crir	oression of to Go ule of C mes Co olicitation	n; TO WIT, The Doodco Mechanica ounts 51-63, by c	efendant of the control of the contr	obtaine ployee reinfo	ed or w s work roing a	ithheld U. ing prevall false imp	S. Curro ling wag ression,	ency in the forr ge jobs, as , in violation of
desci Secti Inchoal Offense	ibed on 39	and frin in the a 22(a)(* Attention 188	ge ber attache 1) of th empt 901 A	nefits owe ed Schedu e PA Crir	oression of to Go ale of C mes Co olicitation a 902 A	n; TO WIT, The Dodco Mechanica ounts 51-63, by code. Conspiracy 18 903	efendant of the control of the contr	obtaine ployee reinfo Num	ed or we seed or we seed or work reing a ber of V	ithheld U. ing prevall false imp	S. Curro ling wag ression, 0 or Olde	ency in the forr ge jobs, as in violation of
desci Secti Inchoal Offense	on 39	and frin in the a 22(a)(* Attention 188	ge ber attache 1) of th empt 201 A	nefits owe ed Schedu e PA Crir	oression of to Go ule of C mes Co olicitation of the	Title 18, PA Crime	efendant of the control of the contr	obtaine ployee reinfo Num 13	ed or we seed or we seed or work reing a ber of V	ithheld U. ing prevail false impl ictims Age 6	S. Curro ling wag ression, 0 or Olde	ency in the forr ge jobs, as in violation of er: 0
desci Secti linchoal Offense Lead?	Offense Penni (If ap	and frin in the a 22(a)(Attention 18 8 8 b # DOT Data oplicable) escripti	ge ber attache 1) of th mpt 201 A 3925 Section	e PA Crir (a) Subsection Accide Numb	oression of to Go ule of C mes Co olicitation of the	Title 18 , PA Crime	efendant of the control of the contr	obtaine ployee reinfo Num 13	ed or we swork reing a ber of V	ithheld U. ing prevail false impl ictims Age 6	S. Curro ling wag ression, 0 or Olde	ency in the form ge jobs, as in violation of er: 0 130/280 UCR/NIBRS Code
State Acts Inches State Rec In	Offense Penni (If ap of the THAT erty; If	8 e # DOT Data opticable) escripti g Stole e accus , on or WIT wed to of Coul	ge ber attache 1) of th empt 3925 Section on (incen Proposed as about 7, The I Goodonts 64-	(a) Subsection Accided Number Said dates Defendants 76, know	oression d to Go ule of C mes Co olicitation goz A of the at receive nical, In ing that	Title 18 , PA Crime	efendant of I, Inc. empereating or extended the extended	Num 13 Coun Cou	ed or we swork reing a ber of V M1 s Grade	ithheld U. ing prevail false implications Age 6 NCIC Offens Zone etain or discount the foots as des	s. Curreling wag ression, o or Olde e Code spose o orm of v scribed	ency in the form ge jobs, as in violation of ar: 0 130/280 UCR/NIBRS Code Work Zone f movable vages and fring in the attached



Do	cket Nu のよう	mber:		te Filed: /27/19	1 '		Scan Number 3681-5				-	Co PA	mplaint 17-1118	Incident Number 73
De		nt Name	Fir	st: OODCO MEC				Middle:				La	st:	
approsented	opriate la <i>brief</i> s r case, you le	o When ummary of u must olle	ed by t n there the facts su the specific	he accused is more the advise the section(s) and suite social soc	l are de an one le defender bsection(s)	escr offe	ense, each e nature of the offer statule(s) or ordina Conspiracy	OffONSE SI nac(s) charged, nce(s) allegedly	10t Adii	IIC DO I allon to the led.	iumbe statute(s)	rea cnr	onologic ated, without	<u> </u>
Offens	e ·	18 9	901 A	18 90	12 A		18 903		L	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
Lead?		9	3927	(a)			18 , PA Crime	es Code		13	M1	NCIC Offens	se Code	120/270 UCR/NIBRS Code
		# DOT Data plicable)	Section	Subsection Accident Number		Aote	Inter	state	•		Safety Z	<u></u>		☐ Work Zone
Stat	ute De	escripti Failure	on (inc	lude the na ke Require	me of	stat pos	tute or ordin ition of Fu	ance): n ds Rec e	ive	d				
legal own of wa	obliga prope ages a ribed wn an	ation to rty to b and frin	make e rese ge ber	specified properties in an opening in an opening in a specific specific specific in a specific specifi	equival to God of Co	nts d lent odco	or other disp amount; TC o Mechanica s 77-89, and	oosition fr DWIT, Th al, Inc. em d did inter	om e E iplo itio	such p Defenda Dyees v nally de	roper ant ob vorkin eal wi	ty or its stained L g prevai th the pr	proceed I.S. Cur ling was operty s	oject to a known is or from his rency in the form ge jobs, as so obtained as f the PA Crimes
Incho	te	ļ1	empt 901 A	Solic 18 90	Itation 02 A		Conspiracy 18 903	<u>'</u>		Numbe	r of Vic	tims Age	60 or Old	er: 0
Lead?	Offense	10	3921 Section	(a)	of the		le 18 , PA Cfir	nes Code		5 Counts	M2 Grade	NCIC Offer	nse Code	060/23A UCR/NIBRS Code
		DOT Data plicable)		Accident . Number			☐ Inter	state			Safety Z	one		☐ Work Zone
The	ft by	Unlaw	ful Tak	ing or Dis	positic	on	tute or ordin	ance):					·	
IN mov wago desc	THAT able p as and ribed	on or roperty fringe in the	about	VIT, The Do	THE Defendation Goods of Co	EFE nt to	ENDANT die ook or exerc Jechanical.	ised unla Inc. empl	wfu oye	ıl contr es wo	ol ove king i	er U.S. C prevailin	:urrenc) g wage	in the form of $-$



	cket Nu R.50	mber: >8-19		e Filed: 27/19	1 .	LiveScan Number 753681-5	r .					mplaint/li 17-11187 	ncident Number 3
De	fendar	nt Name	Firs GO	t: ODCO MEC	HANICA	AL	Middle:				Las	st:	
appro	priate	e. When	there i	s more th	an one	offense each	offense sl ense(s) charged.	30Ul0 A citatio	iben on to the s	umbe	red chro	nologica	gedly violated, if ally. nore, is not sufficient. In a
Inchoa Offens		Attemp 18 901		Sollo 18 90	itation 02 A	Gonspirac	у	. N	lumber	of Vict	lms Age 6	0 or Older	r: 0
Lead?		11	3922	(a)(1) .	of the	Title 18 , PA Cris	mes Code		5	М2			110/26A
	Offense		Section	Subsection		PA Statute (Title)		····	Counts	Grade	NCIC Offens	e Code	UCR/NIBRS Code
		DOT Data plicable)		Accident Number		. ☐ Inter	state		□s	afety Zo	ne		☐ Work Zone
		escription Deception		ide the na	ame of	statute or ordir	nance):						
IN creat of wa desc	THAT ing or iges a ribed	reinforce reinforce	about s ing a f bene ached	alse impre fits owed Schedule	THE Dession; to God of Co	DEFENDANT di TO WIT, The I odco Mechanica ounts 95-99, by	Defendant al, Inc. en	obta ploy	ained : /ees v	or witl vorkin	hheld U.: g prevall	S. Curre ling wag	ency in the form le jobs, as
Inchoa	4.		OI LITE	PA Chine	58 COU	<u> </u>							
Offens		Attem 18 901	ot	☐ Solic	itation 02 A	Conspirac	у	N	lumber	of Vict	ims Age 6	0 or Olde	r: 0
Offense	8	18 901	3925	Solic 18 90	Itation	Conspirac 18 903			5	M2			130/280
	Offense Penn	18 901 12 # DOT Data	ot A	(a) Subsection Accident	of the	Conspirace 18 903 Title 18, PA Crit	mes Code		5 Counts	M2 Grade	NCIC Offens	se Code	130/280 UCR/NIBRS Code
Lead?	Offense Penni (if ap	12 #	3925 Section	(a) Subsection Accident Number	of the	Conspirac 18 903	mes Code		5 Counts	M2	NCIC Offens	se Code	130/280



									1		I
		,						-			ncident Number 3
fendar	nt Name			HANICA	AL	Middle:			<u>l</u> 1	_ast:	,
opriate a <i>briel</i> s	e. When	there	is more th	an one ne defenda	e offense, each nt of the nature of the offe	offense sh nee(e) charged. A	ould be citation to th	numbe	ered ch	ronologica	ally.
	ļI	-				/	Numb	er of Vic	tims Age	e 60 or Olde	: 0
,	13	3927	(a)	of the	Title 18 , PA Crir	nes Code	5	M2			120/270
Offense	#	Section	Subsection		PA Statule (Tille)		Count	s Grade	NCIC Off	ense Code	UCR/NIBRS Code
		•	Accident Number	:	☐ Inter	state		Safety Z	one		☐ Work Zone
ute De ft by I	escriptio Failure	n (incl to Ma	lude the na ke Requir e	ime of ad Dis	statute or ordin position of Fu	ance): n ds Rece l	ved				·
THAT obliga prope ages a ribed wn an	r, on or ation to rty to be and fring in the at	about make reser e bene tache	said date, specified p ved in an e efits owed d Schedule	THE Description of the contract of the contrac	DEFENDANT di nts or other disp lent amount; TC odco Mechanica ounts 105-109, a	oosition fro D WIT, The al, Inc. emp and did inte	m such Defend oloyees entionall	proper lant ob workin y deal	ty or its tained g preva with th	s proceeds U.S. Curr ailing wag e property	s or from his ency in the form e jobs, as r so obtained as
	efendar acts copriate h a brief s y case, yo te e Offense Penni (if ap ute De ft by I s of the THAT obligs prope ages a ribed	opriate. When a brief summary of the yease, you must cite the seed of the seed	efendant Name Fire dects committed by the private. When there has brief summary of the facts sure y case, you must cite the specific teles and the section PennDOT Data (if applicable) Ute Description (ince ft by Failure to Mais of the accused assort THAT, on or about obligation to make property to be reserved and did fail to me.	Pennoor Data (If applicable) ute Description (include the naft by Failure to Make Requires of the accused associated with THAT, on or about said date, obligation to make specified property to be reserved in an eages and fringe benefits owed ribed in the attached Schedule wn and did fail to make the recommendation. First: GOODCO MEC Forst: GOODCO MEC First: GOODCO MEC Forst: GOODCO MEC First: GOODCO MEC First: GOODCO MEC Forst: GOODCO MEC First: GOODCO MEC First: GOODCO MEC Forst: GOODCO MEC First: GOODCO MEC Forst: GOODCO MEC To state accused Accident Number Accident Number Accident Number Accident Number THAT, on or about said date, obligation to make specified property to be reserved in an eages and fringe benefits owed ribed in the attached Schedule wn and did fail to make the rec	First: GOODCO MECHANICA acts committed by the accused are described as a brief summary of the facts sufficient to advise the defendation of the abrief summary of the facts sufficient to advise the defendation of the accused as a subsection and subsection are also as a subsection are as a subsection are also as a subsection are as a subsection are as a subsection are also as a subsection are also as a subsection are a subsection are as a	Priendant Name First: GOODCO MECHANICAL Acts committed by the accused are described below opriate. When there is more than one offense, each a brief summary of the facts sufficient to advise the defendant of the nature of the offer y case, you must cite the specific section(s) and subsection(s) of the statute(s) or ordinate Attempt	First: GOODCO MECHANICAL Acts committed by the accused are described below with each opriate. When there is more than one offense, each offense she a brief summary of the facts sufficient to advise the defendant of the nature of the offense(s) charged. A y case, you must cite the specific section(s) and subsection(s) of the statute(s) or ordinance(s) allegedly vertered in the summary of the facts sufficient to advise the defendant of the nature of the offense(s) charged. A y case, you must cite the specific section(s) and subsection(s) of the statute(s) or ordinance(s) allegedly vertered in the statute of the offense of the statute of the sta	First: GOODCO MECHANICAL acts committed by the accused are described below with each Act of A price summary of the facts sufficient to advise the defendant of the nature of the offense should be a brief summary of the facts sufficient to advise the defendant of the nature of the offense should be a brief summary of the facts sufficient to advise the defendant of the nature of the offense should be a brief summary of the facts sufficient to advise the defendant of the nature of the offense should be a brief summary of the facts sufficient to advise the defendant of the nature of the offense should be a brief summary of the facts sufficient to advise the defendant of the nature of the offense should be a seed to be se	First: GOODCO MECHANICAL Acts committed by the accused are described below with each Act of Assembly prize and the facts sufficient to advise the defendant of the nature of the offense should be number a brief summary of the facts sufficient to advise the defendant of the nature of the offense should be number a brief summary of the facts sufficient to advise the defendant of the nature of the offense should be number as a brief summary of the facts sufficient to advise the defendant of the nature of the offense of the statute of the offense of the offense of the statute of the offense of the accused associated with this Offense of the accused associated with the offense of the accused associated with this Offense of the accused associated	First: GOODCO MECHANICAL acts committed by the accused are described below with each Act of Assembly or stoppiate. When there is more than one offense, each offense should be numbered characteristic into advise the defendant of the nature of the offense(s) charged, A citation to the statute(s) allegedly we case, you must cite the specific section(s) and subsection(s) of the statute(s) or ordinance(s) allegedly violated. Attempt Solicitation Conspiracy Number of Victims Age 18 901 A 18 902 A 18 903 Number of Victims Age Offense # Section Subsection PA Statute (Title) Counts Grade NCIC Offense # Section (include the name of statute or ordinance): fit by Failure to Make Required Disposition of Funds Received of the accused associated with this Offense: THAT, on or about said date, THE DEFENDANT did obtain property upon agreement obligation to make specified payments or other disposition from such property or its property to be reserved in an equivalent amount; TO WIT, The Defendant obtained ages and fringe benefits owed to Goodco Mechanical, Inc. employees working prevaribed in the attached Schedule of Counts 105-109, and did intentionally deal with the wn and did fail to make the required payment or disposition, in violation of Section 3	PAT7-11187 PAT7-11187 PAT7-11187 PAT7-11187 PAT7-11187 PAT7-11187



Docket Number: CR 508-19	Date Filed: 09/27/19	OTN/LiveScan Number		Complaint/incident Number PA17-111873
Defendant Name	First: GOODCO MEC	HANICAL	Middle:	Last:

- 2. I ask that a warrant of arrest or a summons be issued and that the defendant be required to answer the charges I have made.
- 3. I verify that the facts set forth in this complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge or information and belief. This verification is made subject to the penalties of Section 4904 of the Crimes Code (18 Pa.C.S.§4904) relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
- 4. This complaint consists of the preceding page(s) numbered __ through _
- 5. I certify that this filing complies with the provisions of the Case Records Public Access Policy of the Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania that require filing confidential information and documents differently than non-confidential information and documents.

The acts committed by the accused, as listed and hereafter, were against the peace and dignity of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and were contrary to the Act(s) of the Assembly, or in violation of the statutes cited.

(Before a warrant of arrest can be issued, an affidavit of probable cause must be completed, sworn to

(Osie) (Signature of Artical)	
AND NOW, on this date, 9/27/19 I certify that the complaint has been proper completed and verified. An affidavit of probable sause must be completed before a warrant can be issued.	
46-3-02 seph M Mons.	
(Magisterial District Court Number) (Issuing Authority)	٠

. •

- S5 AL



• •	•	16.1125.0	~ A.		
Docket Number:	Date Filed: 09/27/19	OTN/LiveScan Number:	***************************************	,	Complaint/Incident Number PA17-111873
Defendant Name:	First: GOODCO MECH		liddle:		Last:

AFFIDAVIT of PROBABLE CAUSE

Tpr. Jeffrey A: Walters, Trooper First Class, Badge #8189, of the Pennsylvania State Police, Bureau of Criminal Investigations assigned to the Organized Crime Section, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says:

Your Affiant is empowered by law to conduct investigations and make arrests for offenses involving violations of the Crimes Code of Pennsylvania. In the course of his official duties, your Affiant has personally been involved in an investigation into allegations that employees of Goodco Mechanical, Inc. and Energy Stewards, owned and operated by Scott Cameron Good, were victims of theft resulting in lost wages and fringe benefits owed.

On 09/13/19, the 43rd Statewide Investigating Grand Jury issued Presentment No. 16 recommending that criminal charges be filed against Scott Cameron Good for violations of the Crimes Code of Pennsylvania. The aforementioned Presentment was accepted by the Honorable Norman A. Krumenacker, III, Supervising Judge of the Forty-Third Statewide Investigating Grand Jury by Order dated "this 13th day of September 2019."

Having read and reviewed the Presentment, and after having participated in this investigation and considering all the facts and circumstances, your Affiant is adopting the Presentment and incorporating it fully into this Affidavit of Probable Cause (A copy of the Presentment is attached hereto). Based upon my review of the testimony given before the Grand Jury and the documents produced for the Grand Jury, I believe that the testimony of the various witnesses is accurately summarized in the Presentment and that the records referred to in the Presentment are stated accurately.

Based upon my review of the evidence, I have concluded that there is probable cause to believe that GOODCO MECHANICAL engaged in illegal activities which are accurately summarized in the attached Presentment. I have determined that there is probable cause to conclude that GOODCO MECHANICAL violated the following laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

Theft by Unlawful Taking, 18 Pa.C.S. 3921(a) Theft by Deception, 18 Pa.C.S. 3922 (a)(1) Receiving Stolen Property, 18 Pa.C.S. 3925 (a)

(CONTINUED)

I, <u>TPR. JEFFREY WALTERS</u>, BEING DULY SWORN ACCORDING TO THE LAW, DEPOSE AND SAY THAT THE FACTS SET FORTH IN THE FOREGOING AFFIDAVIT ARE TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, INFORMATION AND BELIEF.

I CERTIFY THAT THIS FILING COMPLIES WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE CASE RECORDS PUBLIC ACCESS POLICY OF THE UNIFIED JUDICIAL SYSTEM OF PENNSYLVANIA THAT REQUIRE FILING CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION, AND DOCUMENTS DIFFERENTLY THAN NON-CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION, AND, POCUMENTS.

4	(5	Ignature of Affiant)	
Sworn to me and subscribed before me this 27 th day of	SEP	2019	_
Date John Morn	, Magist	erial District Judge	•
My commission expires first Monday of January, 2024	•		
	•		



Docket Number: CK 508-19	Date Filed: 09/27/19	OTN/LiveScan Number:		Complaint/incident Number PA17-111873
Defendant Name:	First: GOODCO MECH		Middle:	Last:

AFFIDAVIT of PROBABLE CAUSE

Theft by Failure to Make Required Disposition of Funds Received, 18 Pa.C.S. 3927(a)

Deceptive or Fraudulent Business Practices, 18 Pa.C.S. 4107(a)(6)

I, <u>TPR. JEFFREY WALTERS</u>, BEING DULY SWORN ACCORDING TO THE LAW, DEPOSE AND SAY THAT THE FACTS SET FORTH IN THE FOREGOING AFFIDAVIT ARE TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, INFORMATION AND BELIEF.

I CERTIFY THAT THIS FILING COMPLIES WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE CASE RECORDS PUBLIC ACCESS POLICY OF THE UNIFIED JUDICIAL SYSTEM OF PENNSYLVANIA THAT REQUIRE FILING CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND DOCUMENTS DIFFERENTLY THAN NON-CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND DOCUMENTS.

Sworn to me and subscribed before me this 27 day of Sep 2019

Date Beet M Moss Magisterial District Judge

My commission expires first Monday of January, 2029

Goodco Mechanical, Inc. Schedule of Counts

Count 1 - Deceptive or Fraudulent Business Practices - 18 Pa.C.S. § 4107(a)(6) - F-3

Counts 2-10 - Theft by Unlawful Taking - 18 Pa.C.S. § 3921(a) - F-3

Count	<u>Name</u>	Underpayment of Electrician Wages	Underpayment of Electrician Fringe	Underpayment of Plumber Wages	Underpayment of Plumber Fringe	Forfeited Vacation	Total
2	Crawford, Brian R.	\$2,444.01	\$1,049.05			\$139.12	\$3,632.18
3	Davy, Thomas R.	\$1,702.56	\$664.37	\$48.66	\$27.06	\$231.60	\$2,674.25
4	France, Benjamin J.	\$6,723.29	\$2,852.00	\$297.65	\$155.24	\$58.69	\$10,086.87
. 5	Jones, James E.	\$2,867.56	\$1,122.56			\$226.66	\$4,216.78
6	Lair, Michael E.	\$5,999.21	\$2,644.23	\$72.00	\$49.70	\$176.26	\$8,941.40
7	Novikov, Vitaliy V.	\$2,064.37	\$873.40	\$119.89	\$63.14	\$942.24	\$4,063.04
8	Spangle Jr., Scott T.	\$4,131.45	\$1,682.39				\$5,813.84
9	Weaver, John M.	\$2,549.40	\$980.13				\$3,529.53
10	Zendek, Jonathan Q.	\$7,716.83	\$3,245.64	\$14.40	\$9.94		\$10,986.81

Counts 11-19 - Theft by Deception - 18 Pa.C.S. § 3922(a)(1) - F-3

<u>Count</u>	<u>Name</u>	Underpayment of Electrician Wages	Underpayment of Electrician Fringe	<u>Underpayment</u> <u>of Plumber</u> <u>Wages</u>	Underpayment of Plumber Fringe	Forfeited Vacation	<u>Total</u>
11	Crawford, Brian R.	\$2,444.01	\$1,049.05			\$139.12	\$3,632.18
12	Davy, Thomas R.	\$1,702.56	\$664.37	\$48.66	\$27.06	\$231.60	\$2,674.25
13	France, Benjamin J.	\$6,723.29	\$2,852.00	\$297.65	\$155.24	\$58.69	\$10,086.87
14	Jones, James E.	\$2,867.56	\$1,122.56			\$226.66	\$4,216.78
15	Lair, Michael E.	\$5,999.21	\$2,644.23	\$72.00	\$49.70	\$176.26	\$8,941.40
16	Novikov, Vitaliy V.	\$2,064.37	\$873.40	\$119.89	\$63.14	\$942.24	\$4,063.04
17	Spangle Jr., Scott T.	\$4,131.45	\$1,682.39				\$5,813.84
18	Weaver, John M.	\$2,549.40	\$980.13				\$3,529.53
19	Zendek, Jonathan Q.	\$7,716.83	\$3,245.64	\$14.40	\$9,94		\$10,986.81

Counts 20-28 - Receiving Stolen Property - 18 Pa.C.S. § 3925(a) - F-3

Count	<u>Name</u>	Underpayment of Electrician Wages	Underpayment of Electrician Fringe	Underpayment of Plumber Wages	Underpayment of Plumber Fringe	Forfeited Vacation	<u>Total</u>
20	Crawford, Brian R.	\$2,444.01	\$1,049.05			\$139.12	\$3,632.18
21	Davy, Thomas R.	\$1,702.56	\$664.37	\$48.66	\$27.06	\$231.60	\$2,674.25
22	France, Benjamin J.	\$6,723.29	\$2,852.00	\$297.65	\$155.24	\$58.69	\$10,086.87
23	Jones, James E.	\$2,867.56	\$1,122.56			\$226.66	\$4,216.78
24	Lair, Michael E.	\$5,999.21	\$2,644.23	\$72.00	\$49.70	\$176.26	\$8,941.40
25	Novikov, Vitaliy V.	\$2,064.37	\$873.40	\$119.89	\$63.14	\$942.24	\$4,063.04
26	Spangle Jr., Scott T.	\$4,131.45	\$1,682.39				\$5,813.84
27	Weaver, John M.	\$2,549.40	\$980.13				\$3,529.53
28	Zendek, Jonathan Q.	\$7,716.83	\$3,245.64	\$14.40	\$9.94		\$10,986.81

Counts 29-37 - Theft by Failure to Make Required Disposition of Funds - 18 Pa.C.S. § 3927(a) - F-3

<u>Count</u>	Name	Underpayment of Electrician Wages	Underpayment of Electrician Fringe	Underpayment of Plumber Wages	<u>Underpayment</u> <u>of Plumber</u> <u>Fringe</u>	Forfeited Vacation	<u>Total</u>
29	Crawford, Brian R.	\$2,444.01	\$1,049.05			\$139.12	\$3,632.18
30	Davy, Thomas R.	\$1,702.56	\$664.37	\$48.66	\$27.06	\$231.60	\$2,674.25
. 31	France, Benjamin J.	\$6,723.29	\$2,852.00	\$297.65	\$155.24	\$58.69	\$10,086.87
32	Jones, James E.	\$2,867.56	\$1,122.56			\$226.66	\$4,216.78
33	Lair, Michael E.	\$5,999.21	\$2,644.23	\$72.00	\$49.70	\$176.26	\$8,941.40
34	Novikov, Vitaliy V.	\$2,064.37	\$873.40	\$119.89	\$63.14	\$942.24	\$4,063.04
35	Spangle Jr., Scott T.	\$4,131.45	\$1,682.39				\$5,813.84
36	Weaver, John M.	\$2,549.40	\$980.13				\$3,529.53
37	Zendek, Jonathan Q.	\$7,716.83	\$3,245.64	\$14.40	\$9.94		\$10,986.81

Counts 38-50 - Theft by Unlawful Taking - 18 Pa.C.S. \S 3921(a) - M-1

Count	<u>Name</u>	Underpayment of Electrician Wages	Underpayment of Electriclan Fringe	Underpayment of Plumber Wages	<u>Underpayment</u> <u>of Plumber</u> <u>Fringe</u>	Forfeited Vacation	<u>Total</u>
38	Brown, Keith E.			\$584.91	\$375.00	\$66.67	\$1,026.58
39	Brown, Kevin D			\$173.47	\$105.93		\$279.40
40	Chartrand, Bruce E.			\$161.10	\$71.73		\$232.83
41	Grubb Jr., Carl M.			\$398.10	\$198.98		\$597.08
42	Hall, Alex R.			\$344.13	\$220.17		\$564.30
43	Hamilton, Kevin L.	\$64.17	\$22,38		\$238,20		\$324.75
44	Harpster, Robert E.	\$829.57	\$357.34	\$265.54	\$140.77		\$1,593.22
45	Kibe, Jeremy D.			\$202.52	\$110.36	\$13.45	\$326.33
46	Martin, Nathan A.	\$207.90	\$103.40				\$312.35
47	Morris, Colin H.			\$280.58	\$149.13	\$17.39	\$447.10
48	Schaefer, Joseph M.			\$776.89	\$519.54	\$44.04	\$1,340.47
49	Strong, Jonathan A.			\$129.40	\$78.38	\$0.15	\$207.93
50	Varner, Raymond E.			\$1,055.49	\$577.14	\$8.39	\$1,641.02

Counts 51-63 - Theft by Deception - 18 Pa.C.S. § 3922(a)(1) - M-1

Count	<u>Name</u>	Underpayment of Electrician Wages	Underpayment of Electrician Fringe	Underpayment of Plumber Wages	<u>Underpayment</u> of Plumber <u>Fringe</u>	Forfeited Vacation	<u>Total</u>
51	Brown, Keith E.			\$584.91	\$375.00	\$66.67	\$1,026.58
52	Brown, Kevin D			\$173.47	\$105.93		\$279.40
53	Chartrand, Bruce E.			\$161.10	\$71.73		\$232.83
54	Grubb Jr., Carl M.	1		\$398.10	\$198.98		\$597.08
55	Hall, Alex R.			\$344.13	\$220.17		\$564.30
56	Hamilton, Kevin L.	\$64.17	\$22.38		\$238.20		\$324.75
57	Harpster, Robert E.	\$829.57	\$357.34	\$265.54	\$140.77		\$1,593.22
58	Kibe, Jeremy D.			\$202.52	\$110.36	\$13.45	\$326.33
59	Martin, Nathan A.	\$207.90	\$103.40				\$312.35
60	Morris, Colin H.			\$280.58	\$149,13	\$17.39	\$447.10
61	Schaefer, Joseph M.			\$776.89	\$519.54	\$44.04	\$1,340.47
62	Strong, Jonathan A.			\$129.40	\$78.38	\$0.15	\$207.93
63	Varner, Raymond E.			\$1,055.49	\$577.14	\$8.39	\$1,641.02

Counts 64-76 - Receiving Stolen Property - 18 Pa.C.S. § 3925(a) - M-1

Count	<u>Name</u>	Underpayment of Electrician Wages	Underpayment of Electrician Fringe	Underpayment of Plumber Wages	Underpayment of Plumber Fringe	Forfelted Vacation	<u>Total</u>
64	Brown, Keith E.			\$584.91	\$375.00	\$66.67	\$1,026.58
65	Brown, Kevin D			\$173.47	\$105.93		\$279,40
66	Chartrand, Bruce E.			\$161.10	\$71.73		\$232.83
67	Grubb Jr., Carl M.			\$398.10	\$198.98		\$597.08
68	Hall, Alex R.			\$344.13	\$220.17		\$564.30
69	Hamilton, Kevin L.	\$64.17	\$22.38		\$238.20		\$324.75
70	Harpster, Robert E.	\$829.57	\$357.34	\$265.54	\$140.77		\$1,593.22
71	Kibe, Jeremy D.			\$202,52	\$110.36	\$13.45	\$326.33
72	Martin, Nathan A.	\$207.90	\$103.40				\$312.35
73	Morris, Colin H.			\$280.58	\$149.13	\$17.39	\$447.10
74	Schaefer, Joseph M.			\$776.89	\$519.54	\$44.04	\$1,340.47
75	Strong, Jonathan A.			\$129.40	\$78.38	\$0.15	\$207.93
76	Varner, Raymond E.			\$1,055.49	\$577.14	\$8.39	\$1,641.02

Counts 77-89 - Theft by Failure to Make Required Disposition of Funds - 18 Pa.C.S. § 3927(a) - M-1

Count	<u>Name</u>	Underpayment of Electrician Wages	Underpayment of Electrician Fringe	Underpayment of Plumber Wages	<u>Underpayment</u> <u>of Plumber</u> <u>Fringe</u>	Forfeited Vacation	Total
77	Brown, Keith E.			\$584.91	\$375.00	\$66.67	\$1,026.58
78	Brown, Kevin D			\$173.47	\$105.93		\$279.40
79	Chartrand, Bruce E.			\$161.10	\$71.73		\$232.83
80	Grubb Jr., Carl M.			\$398.10	\$198.98		\$597.08
81	Hall, Alex R.			\$344.13	\$220.17		\$564.30
82	Hamilton, Kevin L.	\$64.17	\$22.38		\$238.20		\$324.75
83	Harpster, Robert E.	\$829.57	\$357.34	\$265.54	\$140.77		\$1,593.22
84	Kibe, Jeremy D.			\$202.52	\$110.36	\$13.45	\$326.33
85	Martin, Nathan A.	\$207.90	\$103.40				\$312.35
86	Morris, Colin H.			\$280.58	\$149.13	\$17.39	\$447.10
87	Schaefer, Joseph M.			\$776.89	\$519.54	\$44.04	\$1,340.47
88	Strong, Jonathan A.			\$129.40	\$78.38	\$0.15	\$207.93
89	Varner, Raymond E.			\$1,055.49	\$577.14	\$8.39	\$1,641.02

Counts 90-94 - Theft by Unlawful Taking - 18 Pa.C.S. § 3921(a) - M-2

Count	<u>Name</u>	Underpayment of Electrician Wages	Underpayment of Electrician Fringe	Underpayment of Plumber Wages	Underpayment of Plumber Fringe	Forfeited Vacation	<u>Total</u>
90	Grissinger, Dirk A.			\$115.20	\$79.52		\$194.72
91	Kerstetter, Zachary L.			\$93.60	\$64.61		\$158.21
92	Paul, Jason W.			\$15.65	\$8.52		\$24.17
93	Strouse, Jacob			\$14.40	\$9.94		\$24.34
94	Yetsko, Stephen			\$16.85	\$9.02		\$25.87

Counts 95-99 - Theft by Deception - 18 Pa.C.S. § 3922(a)(1) - M-2

Count	<u>Name</u>	Underpayment of Electrician Wages	Underpayment of Electrician Fringe	Underpayment of Plumber Wages	<u>Underpayment</u> <u>of Plumber</u> <u>Fringe</u>	Forfelted Vacation	Total
95	Grissinger, Dirk A.			\$115.20	\$79.52		\$194,72
96	Kerstetter, Zachary L.			\$93.60	\$64.61		\$158.21
97	Paul, Jason W.			\$15.65	\$8.52		\$24.17
98	Strouse, Jacob			\$14.40	\$9.94		\$24.34
99	Yetsko, Stephen			\$16.85	\$9.02		\$25.87

Counts 100-104 - Receiving Stolen Property - 18 Pa.C.S. § 3925(a)- M-2

Count	<u>Name</u>	Underpayment of Electrician Wages	Underpayment of Electrician Fringe	Underpayment of Plumber Wages	Underpayment of Plumber Fringe	Forfeited Vacation	<u>Total</u>
100	Grissinger, Dirk A.			\$115.20	\$79.52		\$194.72
101	Kerstetter, Zachary L.			\$93.60	\$64.61		\$158.21
102	Paul, Jason W.	-		\$15.65	\$8.52		\$24.17
103	Strouse, Jacob			\$14.40	\$9.94		\$24.34
104	Yetsko, Stephen			\$16.85	\$9.02		\$25.87

Counts 105-109 - Theft by Failure to Make Required Disposition of Funds - 18 Pa.C.S. § 3927(a) - M-2

Count	<u>Name</u>	Underpayment of Electrician Wages	<u>Underpayment</u> of Electrician <u>Fringe</u>	Underpayment of Plumber Wages	<u>Underpayment</u> of Plumber <u>Fringe</u>	Forfeited Vacation	<u>Total</u>
105	Grissinger, Dirk A.			\$115.20	\$79.52		\$194.72
106	Kerstetter, Zachary L.			\$93.60	\$64.61		\$158.21
107	Paul, Jason W.		•	\$15.65	\$8.52		\$24.17
108	Strouse, Jacob			\$14.40	\$9.94		\$24.34
109	Yetsko, Stephen			\$16.85	\$9.02		\$25.87

INTRODUCTION

We, the members of the Forty-Third Statewide Investigating Grand Jury, having received and reviewed evidence pertaining to violations of the Pennsylvania Crimes Code occurring in Clearfield County, Pennsylvania, as well as other Pennsylvania counties, pursuant to Notice of Submission of Investigation No. 5, do hereby make the following findings of fact and recommendation of charges.

FINDINGS OF FACT

This investigation began as an inquiry into allegations of theft of wages and benefits from employees, as part of a misclassification scheme on a \$16 million public works project in 2014. The project involved the construction of a new district headquarters for the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation ("PennDOT"), located at 70 PennDOT Drive in Clearfield, Clearfield County, and the work was subject to the provisions of the Pennsylvania Prevailing Wage Act, 43 P.S. §§ 165-1 – 165-17. Journeymen who were employed on the project reported that they were the victims of wage and benefit theft.

Over the course of its twenty-one month investigation, the Grand Jury learned that Scott Cameron Good ("Good"), the owner of Goodco Mechanical, Inc. ("Goodco"), a mechanical contractor based in Centre County that worked on public works projects in Clearfield, Centre, and other Pennsylvania Counties, engaged in a misclassification scheme, whereby journeymen electricians and plumbers were directed to record a portion of the hours they worked each workday as lower paid laborers, in order to reduce labor costs. This misrepresentation of the proper wage classification allowed Good and Goodco to bid projects with lower wage and fringe benefits costs.

¹ This investigation was initially submitted to the Fortieth Statewide Investigating Grand Jury at Notice of Submission of Investigation No. 49. The investigation was transferred to the Forty-Third Statewide Investigating Grand Jury upon expiration of the prior Grand Jury.

Testimony further revealed that this same scheme was utilized by Good and Goodco on all prevailing wage projects dating back to at least 2010. The Grand Jury learned that journeymen workers on prevailing wage projects were all certified electricians or plumbers and all performed full-time work – often four, ten-hour days each week – in their respective trades for Goodco. Notwithstanding, workers were instructed by Good and supervisors on his behalf to allocate their time on timesheets by pre-determined ratios, such as nine hours as electricians and one hour as a laborer each work day.

Although employees were hesitant to complain about the wage and benefit practices for fear of retaliation in the workplace and/or being fired by Good, following completion of the Clearfield County PennDOT project, several certified electricians reported that they were not paid the correct rate for a portion of their workday and that Good inappropriately withheld money from the fringe benefit portion of their compensation. Investigation into these allegations revealed that Goodco was regularly underpaying wages for journeymen and claiming unlawful benefits credits on this and other prevailing wage projects.

Good denied these allegations while under oath before the Grand Jury.

A. Prevailing Wage Work, Proper Journeymen Classification Requirements and Work Performed by Goodco Journeymen.

The Grand Jury heard extensive testimony as to the nature of prevailing wage work, the requirements of contractors in bidding prevailing wage work and the administration of payments made in accordance with prevailing wage certifications. In addition, detailed testimony regarding the specific tasks performed and tools utilized by various journeymen mechanics was received. Because prevailing wage work is publicly funded, the Grand Jury learned that strict adherence to the law is required of all contractors who are awarded this type of work.

1. Prevailing Wage Work and Compliance Requirements.

The Grand Jury heard testimony regarding prevailing wage work and legal compliance requirements from Timothy Helm ("Helm"), former Chief of Government Contracts Enforcement with the United States Department of Labor ("USDOL"). Helm testified that he has more than 30 years of experience investigating and enforcing federal prevailing wage laws. From 1994 until approximately 2015, Helm was responsible for overseeing enforcement and compliance of all federal prevailing wage programs throughout the United States.

Helm explained that the Davis-Bacon Act ("DBA") is intended to ensure that wages paid to workers on any construction project receiving federal funds comport with the wages that prevail in that particular geographical region. The Prevailing Wage Act ("PWA") is Pennsylvania's version of the DBA and when the PWA is silent on an issue, courts and Pennsylvania Department of Labor & Industry ("DLI") defer to the DBA and its precedents. The laws create level playing fields by ensuring that every bidder on a project that receives federal or state funds pays the same wage rates, as required by a prevailing wage determination. Every week, contractors must submit sworn certifications attesting that wages and fringe benefits are being paid in accordance with law.

Further, Helm testified that wage determinations specify the wages that a contractor must pay each classification of worker – such as electricians, plumbers, laborers, etc. – on a project. All workers must be paid the applicable wage determination rate for all wages and benefits earned in each classification each week. Each wage determination consists of both an hourly rate and fringe benefit component. Typically, labor agreements for various trades unions assist the USDOL and DLI in determining what tasks are associated with particular trades and the appropriate wage and fringe benefits rates prevailing in a geographical area. Helm explained that it is generally impermissible for an employer to split a worker's classification; therefore, a worker classified as

an electrician at the start of the day who performs the work of an electrician the entire work day and must be compensated accordingly.

Finally, Helm testified that contractors are required to file weekly certified payrolls attesting that the contractor paid the correct wages and benefits to its workers with the contracting government agency. Helm explained that a subcontractor is required to file its certified payrolls with the general contractor, who will then file all certified payrolls for the project with the contracting government agency.

2. Proper Journeyman Classifications.

The Grand Jury heard detailed testimony from witnesses describing the tasks and tools associated with electricians, plumbers and laborers.

a. Journeyman Electrician Work vs. Laborer Work.

Daniel J. Gioiosa ("Gioiosa"), a supervisor for the DLI Bureau of Labor Law Compliance, Altoona and Harrisburg district offices, appeared before the Grand Jury. He explained that he supervises enforcement of 12 labor laws, including the PWA. Gioiosa described the tasks and tools associated with both journeyman electrician and laborer classifications.

Gioiosa testified that the following work is done exclusively by electricians: Pulling wire/conduit; mounting panels, controllers, other electrical devices; carrying tools used by electricians onto worksite; cleaning up "as you go" of wires and other materials used by electricians; repairing and replacing wiring, equipment and fixtures using job-specific tools; installing conduit through concealed areas of structures; installing wire and fittings; core drilling for electrical conduit; connecting power sources; fastening electrical boxes, switches and outlets; and similar tasks. Further, Gioiosa testified that tools used by journeyman electricians include: Conduit benders; tic and volt meters; wire strippers; Ohmmeters; and similar tools. Gioiosa

testified that laborer tasks include: Sweeping and general cleanup on worksites; use of brooms; digging trenches; pushing dumpster carts around worksite to collect debris; moving materials such as block and stone around worksites; unloading trucks; drilling a common hole – if not used by one craft; and similar tasks.

The Grand Jury heard similar testimony from Alfred Franklin ("Franklin"), Assistant Business Manager of the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local Union No. 5, who testified that although laborers are responsible for the majority of job site cleanup, industry standards do not permit electricians to classify themselves as a laborer when cleaning up scraps resulting from electrician work. To the contrary, industry standards require that tradesmen maintain a clean worksite while performing electrician work, not allowing debris to accumulate creating health and safety hazards. Franklin further testified it is standard practice for electricians to dispose of the debris they create themselves, rather than have laborers clean it up.

b. Journeyman Plumber Work vs. Laborer Work,

Trooper Jeffrey A. Walters ("Trooper Walters"), a special investigator in the Pennsylvania State Police Bureau of Criminal Investigation, Organized Crime Unit, interviewed John Kane ("Kane"), the current Business Manager and Financial Secretary for Plumbers Local 690, with jurisdiction throughout the eastern half of Pennsylvania. Kane has served in that capacity since 2007, has been a certified plumber since 1979 and is a certified Master plumber.

Trooper Walters testified that during the interview, Kane explained that certified plumbers use specific tools in their craft, including: Shovels; acetylene torches for soldering/cutting, tubing cutters (plastic and metal); no hub cutters (cast iron pipe); carbonadium blades (large pipe cutters); monkey wrenches; crescent wrenches; lead pots; packing irons; caulking irons; hilti guns (used for installing hangers); and core hole drills. Further, Kane explained that "everything on the P

Drawing [plumbing specification] is the work of the plumber" and that plumbers check these drawings to locate the "job site trail" and gather materials. Specifically, plumbers install: Various types of piping (i.e. PEX, cast, PVC, copper, etc.), either underground or "high reach;" different types of hangers; PVC installations (which require a knowledge of cleaners and cement along with the different cutters); connections to many appliances (i.e. furnaces, hot water tanks, etc.); specialty equipment; and similar tasks.

Further, Trooper Walters testified that Kane stated many prevailing wage jobs utilize laborers specifically for cleanup purposes; however, plumbers generally perform clean-up tasks on their worksites, without the assistance of any laborers. Kane stated to Trooper Walters that plumbers clean up as they work by taking debris to a "centralized location," where laborers will later come around and dispose of it. Laborers do the floor sweeping afterwards as a part of their clean-up duties. As a result, Kane explained plumbers work a full eight hours as plumbers when on a job and not seven hours as a plumber and one hour as a laborer. Trooper Walters further testified that Kane explained that on prevailing wage jobs "open shops," or non-union contractors, will mandate that their employees split their work hours in this manner — a ratio of journeyman plumber hours to laborer hours — in order to keep wage costs down and to "beat out" union contractors on bids. Kane explained to Trooper Walters that workers rarely say anything because they "need their jobs to feed their families."

Journeymen Keep the Same Classification Throughout the Workday.

Gioiosa testified that use of a pre-determined ratio is never permissible, and that it is rarely permissible to divide a worker's day into multiple classifications. When determining the work performed by various classifications of employees, the DLI relies on custom and usage in the specific industry and these rates are to be used by all businesses bidding on prevailing wage work.

On these projects, all workers within a certain classification – such as electricians, plumbers and laborers – must be paid the prevailing rate for the work done by that trade on the project for all hours worked. This prevailing wage includes both wage and fringe benefit rates for all hours worked.

3. Work Performed by Goodco Mechanical Journeymen.

Trooper Walters testified that he spoke with certified electricians Brian Crawford, Michael Lair, Jonathan Zednick, Jamie Jones, and Scott Sprangle, all current and former employees of Goodco. All of these individuals confirmed to Trooper Walters that they worked on the PennDOT Clearfield County project. Trooper Walters relayed that these individuals typically performed automatic temperature control work for Goodco and they described that they performed the following work as electricians: Pull wire; pull conduit; terminate with mount panels; mount controllers; transport electrician tools to and from worksites; clean up cut wire and conduit as they work; and similar tasks. Trooper Walters testified that these individuals described the tools electricians use to include: Conduit benders; tic meters; volt meters; saws; drills; wire strippers; specified screwdrivers; Ohmmeters; and similar tools. They explained to Trooper Walters that they never performed laborer tasks such as sweeping, using brooms, or performing demolition work.

Trooper Walters also testified that he spoke with Benjamin France ("France"), who has worked at Goodco as both an electrician and plumber since 2015 and has worked on several prevailing wage jobs during his tenure at Goodco. France explained to Trooper Walters that he used various specialized tools when working as a plumber to include: Monkey wrenches; channel locks; plumb bobs, core drills, different types of pipe cutters, and pipe threaders. Trooper Walters relayed that France told him that the plumbing work he completed required specialized skills,

including an understanding of pipe pitch. France explained to Trooper Walters that he would gather his debris and place in a central location for laborers to cleanup later.

B. Theft of Wages and Benefits from Goodco Mechanical Journeymen and Material Misrepresentations by Scott Good to the Grand Jury.

Following completion of the Clearfield County PennDOT project, several certified electricians employed by Goodco on the project reported that they were not paid the correct rate of pay for a portion of their workday and that Good and Goodco inappropriately withheld money from the fringe benefit portion of their compensation. Investigation into these allegations revealed that Goodco was underpaying wages and claiming unlawful benefits credits on this and other prevailing wage projects since at least 2010. When Good appeared before this Grand Jury to answer these allegations under oath, he knowingly made material misrepresentations.

1. Instructions to Record Hours Using Ratios of Journeyman-to-Laborer Hours, Rather than Actual Journeyman Hours.

The Grand Jury heard from six current and former electrician journeymen of Goodco. They all testified that Good, or supervisors on behalf of Good and Goodco, instructed them to misclassify their time on prevailing wage projects. These electricians testified that they generally worked four, ten-hour workdays each week and were instructed to record themselves as laborers a portion of each workday. These workers testified that they were told by Good, or a Goodco supervisor, that the practice was company policy. All of the witnesses testified that they were instructed to record at least one hour per day as a laborer, despite working as electricians. If they recorded all of their time as electricians and none as laborers, they were either instructed to change their timesheets by Good, or someone would change their timesheets to reflect the pre-determined electrician-laborer ratio.

Brian Crawford ("Crawford") testified that he was employed by Goodco as an electrician for ten years. Crawford testified that he worked as an electrician on the PennDOT job in Clearfield County. During his years working for Good as a journeyman electrician, Crawford explained that he was instructed to record a portion of each workday as laborer work. According to Crawford, the laborer rate was approximately \$20.00 less an hour than the electrician rate and the fringe benefit contribution amount for a laborer was also significantly lower than the journeyman electrician fringe rate. Crawford testified that Good specifically instructed him to record some of his time at the lower hourly laborer rate. Crawford said he did not push the issue with Good, as he was afraid if he did so he would be told to find another job.

Similar testimony was received by the Grand Jury from electrician James Jones ("Jones"), who also worked on the Clearfield County PennDOT project. He explained that Goodco employed electricians, plumbers, and heating and air conditioning service technicians, but that only electricians worked on the Clearfield County job. Jones said his workweek generally was comprised of four, ten-hour days and testified that he was required by Good to fill out a daily time sheet and to record nine hours as an electrician and one hour as a laborer each day. Further, according to Jones, if he complained about the practice to Good, he would have been removed from prevailing wage work and forced to work on private jobs at a significantly lower hourly wage or suffer discharge. He expected the same adverse workplace action would have occurred had he discussed the practice with PennDOT inspectors. Jones testified that the nine-hour electrician to one-hour laborer policy changed in approximately 2017.

Scott Spangle ("Spangle") testified that he was also employed as an electrician by Goodco and worked on the Clearfield County PennDOT job. Spangle testified that he was instructed by Good to fill out his time sheet to record his 10-hour workday as nine hours at an electricians' rate

of pay and one hour as that of a laborer, despite having worked the entire day as a journeyman electrician. Spangle testified that if an electrician failed to record time on a time sheet in this manner, Good would simply change it. Further, Spangle testified that during the Clearfield County PennDOT job, Goodco made fringe benefit contributions to a vacation fund; however, if an employee did not use the vacation time, it would be lost. The policy allowed employees to carry over three days of unused vacation time, but if it was not used within the first three months of the next calendar year, it was lost.

The Grand Jury heard from John Weaver, Jr. ("Weaver"), an electrician in the control department and former Goodco employee. Weaver testified that as an employee of Goodco, he was instructed to record at least one hour of his workday as a laborer. This procedure was explained to him the very first week of his employment with Goodco by his foreman. Weaver testified that he complained repeatedly that this was unfair and finally Good met with him to discuss the practice in June 2016. According to Weaver, Good explained that the "seven and one policy" – recording seven hours as an electrician and one as a laborer for an eight-hour workday – was legal and helped him to be more competitive in bids. Weaver testified that he told Good that he had worked for five other companies in his career as an electrician and that no one was engaged in this type of time-keeping practice other than Goodco. Similar testimony was received by the Grand Jury with respect to the misclassification scheme from electricians Jonathan Zendek and Michael Lair.

Kim Patishnock ("Patishnock"), a former accountant for Goodco, testified that soon after she started work in 2010 she was responsible for processing payroll; however, Good signed the certified payrolls submitted on the prevailing wage jobs. She testified that before she was permitted to pay workers, Good would review time sheets on prevailing wage work to ensure that

workers, such as electricians, were recording at least an hour or two at a lower laborer rate – rather than a full ten hours as a journeyman mechanic. Patishnock further testified that it was mandatory for workers to charge an hour or two to the lower laborer rate each day. In fact, she testified that Good instructed her to pay workers at the lowest hourly rate for laborers. She explained to the Grand Jury that the laborer rate resulted in both a lower hourly wage and fringe benefit contribution than those earned by electricians—and a substantial cost saving for Goodco. She also recounted that Good exercised complete control over the bidding process. Patishnock testified that she regularly had disagreements with Good involving payroll practices and was fired in 2015.

Patishnock's testimony was supported by Kathryn Koetje-Simin, who was employed by Goodco starting in the summer of 2015 until January 2016 as a controller. In that position, she managed accounting staff members, oversaw payroll accounts, accounts payable and receivable and managed other financial matters for Goodco. She testified that she was aware that employees were required to record an hour of laborer work for every shift they worked as a journeyman — including electricians, plumbers and pipefitters. She testified that this practice reduced payroll expenses and taxes and served to cut costs for Goodco.

Finally, Trooper Walters testified that as part of his investigation he collected the employee handbook for Goodco and Energy Stewards, another company owned by Good, dated March 2014, 2017 in conjunction with a search warrant executed in November 2018. The handbook contained a vacation policy which provided: "Employees must use their vacation in the year in which it is earned. A maximum of two days may be rolled into the following year, but must be used before March 30th, and approved in advance by a supervisor. Unused rollover vacation days will be forfeited after that date." Despite representations on certified payrolls that Goodco paid employees

the prevailing fringe benefit rate for vacation benefits, employees were subject to a forfeiture of those benefits.

2. Good Falsifications

Goodco, which performs residential and commercial heating, air conditioning and plumbing construction and mechanical work throughout Central Pennsylvania and services these types of resident and commercial systems. Further, Good testified that the business has a controls division that installs low-voltage specialty wiring. Good explained that electricians perform work in the automatic temperature controls division of Goodco and may work part-time in a separate company he owns, Energy Stewards, a commercial lighting business.

Good agreed that Goodco performed prevailing wage work on the PennDOT project in Clearfield County in 2014. On that job, Good explained that his company performed automatic temperature control work. Good testified:

When we are doing a project, there are certain tasks that are considered to be electrician's tasks and that is governed by the Department of Labor & Industry. There are also tasks that are performed, that can be considered other tasks. In other words, oftentimes, we use laborer classification when those same individuals are doing other work. And Labor & Industry – the Department of Labor & Industry specifies that what those – what those tasks are. And so on – so on every job – on every job, whether it is, you know, the Clearfield project or any project, our electricians are to be paid for the work that they perform. And so that was – that was what I was expecting of them of that project as well.

In his testimony before the Grand Jury, Good denied that he had ever instructed electricians to misclassify themselves as laborers for a portion of their work day:

- Q: So on the PennDOT [Clearfield County construction] job, an electrician comes to work and he works eight hours at that job, was there any formula for how he was to document his time for that particular day?
- A: He was to pay himself based on the work that he did.

- Q: Was he ever instructed to pay himself or to document that he did six and two or eight and one?
- A: No, sir.
- Q: Did you ever tell -- did you ever tell an employee on the PennDOT job, write down on his timesheet that he worked six and two; six hours an electrician two hours as a laborer?
- A: No, sir.
- Q: Did you ever tell any employee on any job to document their timesheet as six and two, six hours laborer—six hours as an electrician and two hours laborer?
- A: No, sir.
- Q: Did you ever tell any employee on any job to document their time in a ratio whether it would be six and two, seven and one, eight and two, eight and one? Did you ever do that-tell anyone to do that?
- A: No, sir. My employees were specifically instructed to put down the time that they worked based on the work that they did.

Further, Good testified:

My instructions to my people has [sic] always been put down the work that you performed and so there have been conversations regarding why is there no laborer time because based on the classifications that — that are established by Labor & Industry. There are certain things that I know as a general rule somebody is doing some time during the week. And so when an employee would put down 40 electrician and zero, I would ask the question nobody did any labor work this week? So questioning what they put down but never ever would I tell somebody to specifically put down a ratio.

Good claimed that he provides employees that work on prevailing wage projects with the DLI's list of tasks generally associated with each trade classification and asks them to record their time in accordance with the list of tasks associated with each trade. Under oath, when questioned as to whether he ever told any employee to change a time sheet, Good testified "No. Absolutely not... I never told anybody to change a time sheet." The Grand Jury finds this testimony not to be credible or worthy of belief. Good conceded that the wage rate and the fringe benefit rates are

lower for the laborer classification than electricians and plumbers. Likewise, he conceded that employees that did not use the vacation time allotted to them in a calendar year would lose their vacation time and would not receive payment.

C. Prior Violations of the Prevailing Wage Laws by Good, as Determined by the Pennsylvania Department of Labor and Industry.

Trooper Walters testified that as part of his investigation, he collected an Adjudication and Order issued by the DLI. In that Adjudication, Respondents were identified as Allied Mechanical and Electrical, Incorporated and State College Electrical and Mechanical, Incorporated. The Adjudication identified Good as Vice President of Allied Mechanical. Trooper Walters presented the Adjudication and Order, dated January 20, 2006, which was signed by the DLI Secretary and determined that the companies were in violation of Pennsylvania prevailing wage laws. Trooper Walters testified that the conduct alleged in that case was the same as what occurred in this matter. Specifically, Allied Mechanical required electricians and other skilled journeymen to record a portion of their workday in the lower paid laborer classification.

The Grand Jury heard testimony from Gioiosa, further detailing this Adjudication. Gioiosa discussed his involvement in the investigation of Allied Mechanical & Electrical, Inc. in 2003. The investigation commenced when DLI received complaints from employees about payment of wages by the company on prevailing wage jobs. Specifically, the scheme involved the payment of prevailing wages based on a formula/ratio where journeymen electricians were instructed to record their time as six hours journeyman electrician and two hours laborer for every eight-hour shift.

Early in the investigation, Gioiosa testified that representatives of Allied Mechanical, including Vice President Scott Good, came into his Altoona office to discuss the allegations. During the meeting, Gioiosa made clear to them that they could not change the classification of

journeymen electricians for restroom breaks, work area cleanup and similar tasks to manipulate the amount of their bids on public works projects. In other words, they were not to misclassify workers to save money on payroll costs in an effort to skew their bids to be the low bidder on prevailing wage jobs. Gioiosa testified that he told them that the practice was illegal and they were instructed immediately to cease paying employees based on this type of ratio.

Notwithstanding, Good and the company continued to use ratios when paying their workers on prevailing wage projects. As a result, the DLI found Allied Mechanical to be in violation of the prevailing wage laws. Gioiosa testified that Allied Mechanical was required to pay restitution to workers and other penalties as a result of its unlawful conduct. It was debarred for a period of three years from bidding on any public works projects. Gioiosa emphasized in his testimony that Good was well-aware and had been given *specific notice by him* that this type of misclassification constituted wage theft and violated prevailing wage laws.

D. Underpayment of Employee Wages and Diversion of Benefits

Good and Goodco saved payroll costs by instructing employees to misclassify their work hours. Through this misclassification scheme, Goodco manufactured savings by underpaying workers' wages and fringe benefits, thereby enabling Good to bid projects lower than other bidders on prevailing wage work. Good and Goodco also reduced the total amount it paid toward employees' fringe benefits by crediting to itself hourly vacation benefits due to employees.

The Grand Jury received testimony and a report from forensic accountant and fraud examiner Kori Bogard ("Bogard") of the Office of Attorney General who reviewed payroll information for Goodco. Specifically, he reviewed Goodco's QuickBooks and Foundations software to identify prevailing wages projects performed by Goodco between January 1, 2010, through March 17, 2019.

1. The Underpayment of Wages to Employees.

With respect to the underpayment of journeymen electrician wages, Bogard found:

From the payroll data, 74,302.76 hours for electricians were identified on prevailing wage projects from January 1, 2010, through March 17, 2019. Of these hours, electricians were paid at laborer rates for 5,248.50 of the hours as illustrated below:

Year	Electrician Hours	%	Laborer Hours	%	Total Hours
2010	6,110.00	90%	707.00	10%	6,817.00
2011	14,557.50	92%	1,270.00	8%	15,827.50
2012	5,831.51	92%	493.00	8%	6,324.51
2013	8,051.00	92%	665.00	- 8%	8,716.00
1/1/2014 - 6/30/2014	1,760.00	91%	173,50	9%	1,933.50
7/1/2014 - 12/31/2014	3,296.00	92%	272.00	8%	3,568.00
2015	5,956.50	92%	505.00	8%	6,461.50
2016	12,089.50	92%	1,081.00	8%	13,170.50
2017	7,622.00	99%	33.00	1%	7,655.00
2018	3,653.25	99%	49.00	1%	3,702.25
1/1/2019 - 3/17/2019	127.00	100%		0%	127.00
	69,054.26		5,248.50	=	74,302.76

As a result of the hours electricians were paid as laborers, Bogard found \$151,400.00 of underpayment as illustrated below:

Year	Laborer Hours	Un	derpaid Wages	U	nderpaid Fringe Benefits	To	otal Underpayment
2010	707.00	\$	16,025.15	\$	5,392.99	\$_	21,418.14
2011	1,270,00	\$	24,908.13	\$	9,289.67	\$	34,197.80
2012	493.00	\$	9,466.02	\$	3,503.12	\$	12,969,14
2013	665.00	\$	12,977.15	\$	6,142.51	\$	19,119.66
1/1/2014 - 6/30/2014	173.50	\$	3,715.92	\$	1,636.67	\$	5,352.59
7/1/2014 - 12/31/2014	272.00	\$	5,843.55	\$	2,443.95	\$	8,287.50
2015	505.00	\$	10,561.91	\$	4,326.81	\$	14,888.72
2016	1,081.00	\$	23,171.07	\$	9,604.73	\$	32,775.80
2017	33.00	\$	709.53	\$	302.52	\$	1,012.05
2018	49.00	\$	908.62	\$	469.98	\$	1,378.60
1/1/2019 - 3/17/2019	-	\$	**	\$	***	\$_	
	5,248.50	\$	108,287.05	\$	43,112.95	\$	151,400.00

With respect to the underpayment of journeymen plumber wages, Bogard found:

From the payroll data, 32,689.26 hours for plumbers were identified on prevailing wage projects from January 1, 2010, through March 17, 2019. Of these hours, plumbers were paid at laborer rates for 2,166.75 of the hours as illustrated below:

Year	Plumber Hours	%	Laborer Hours	%	Total Hours
2010	2,600.00	87%	402.00	13%	3,002.00
2011	7,823.76	92%	641.50	8%	8,465.26
2012	3,005.50	89%	354,50	11%	3,360.00
2013	1,849.00	92%	170.50	8%	2,019.50
1/1/2014 - 6/30/2014	1,058.50	89%	134.25	11%	1,192.75
7/1/2014 - 12/31/2014	1,144.50	84%	225.00	16%	1,369.50
2015	1,307.00	93%	92.00	7%	1,399.00
2016	3,971.50	99%	59.50	1%	4,031.00
2017	2,467.50	97%	87.50	3%	2,555.00
2018	5,200.75	100%		0%	5,200.75
1/1/2019 - 3/17/2019	94.50	100%		0%	94.50
	30,522.51	**********	2,166.75		32,689.26

As a result of the hours plumbers were paid as laborers, Bogard found \$47,669.84 of underpayment as illustrated below:

Year	Laborer Hours	Un	derpaid Wages	Un	derpaid Fringe Benefits	Tot	al Underpayment
2010	402	\$	4,765.62	\$	3,441.23	\$	8,206.85
2011	641.5	\$	7,846.29	\$	5,574.85	\$	13,421.14
2012	354.5	\$	4,298.77	\$	2,686.34	\$	6,985.11
2013	170.5	\$	2,460.80	\$_	1,693.32	\$_	4,154.12
Jan - Sep 2014	274.75	\$	4,184.58	\$	2,513.79	\$	6,698.37
Oct - Dec 2014	84.5	\$	1,249.42	\$	856.22	\$	2,105.64
2015	92.00	\$	1,404.63	\$	830,27	\$	2,234.90
2016	59.50	\$	1,007.25	\$	506.54	\$_	1,513.79
2017	87.50	\$	1,519.13	\$	830.79	\$	2,349.92
2018	<u>.</u>	\$	-	\$		\$	
1/1/2019 - 3/17/2019	-	\$	- . [\$		\$	
	2,166.75	\$	28,736.49	\$	18,933.35	\$	47,669.84

As part of his report to the Grand Jury, Bogard presented several spreadsheets which contain data supporting his findings. Those spreadsheets are attached to this Presentment as appendices. Appendix A lists Goodco prevailing wage jobs, by year, where journeymen electricians were paid as both electricians and laborers. Appendix B lists Goodco prevailing wage jobs, by year, where journeymen plumbers were paid as both plumbers and laborers. Appendix C lists each journeyman electrician or plumber who was underpaid as a laborer by Goodco and/or forfeited vacation pay.

Bogard testified that Good reported to contracting government agencies and general contractors that journeymen electricians and plumbers had worked the laborer hours listed in the charts above.

2. Improper Crediting of Vacation Contributions on Certified Payrolls.

After reviewing Goodco's policy regarding paid vacation time, Bogard concluded that Good inappropriately claimed credit for employees' vacation time on certified payrolls. It is Goodco's policy that all but three days of unused vacation time at the end of the year are forfeited. However, those three days must be used by March 31st of the following year, or they are forfeited as well. Employees are not compensated for any forfeited vacation time.

As noted in Bogard's report, according to the USDOL, employers cannot claim credit on certified payrolls toward fringe benefit payments that are forfeitable, unless the employee is compensated for the value of any forfeited vacation time. Therefore, Goodco cannot claim any fringe benefit credit under the prevailing wage laws for vacation time, because the vacation time policy permits a forfeiture of time for which employees are not compensated.

Bogard analyzed employees' vacation time and determined the total amount of forfeited prevailing wage vacation fringe benefits was \$5,105.23, as shown in the following table:

Year	For	Forfeited PW Vacation				
2010	\$	11.98				
2011	\$	395.36				
2012	\$	563.44				
2013	\$	1,651.71				
Jan - Sep 2014	\$	206.06				
Oct - Dec 2014	\$	68.68				
2015	\$	265.36				
2016	\$	1,392.82				
2017	\$	320.37				
. 2018	\$	229.45				
	\$	5,105.23				
,						

The payroll records end on March 17, 2019. Bogard assumed that employees with under 24 hours of unused 2018 vacation hours did, in fact, roll forward and use the vacation by March 31, 2019. The calculation for 2018 provides the lowest possible amount of prevailing wage forfeited vacation benefits. Based on the payroll records as of March 17, 2019, it is possible that up to \$1,080.10 of prevailing wage 2018 vacation benefits were actually forfeited.

3. Statute of Limitations.

The Grand Jury notes that while the evidence it received shows Goodco engaged in theft of employee wages and fringe benefits funds and making false filings with government agencies dating back to 2010, criminal charges may only initiated within the applicable statute of limitations, which would be five years for those crimes included in the Recommendation of Charges below. The following chart provides a breakdown of theft amounts within the statute of limitations and the amounts outside of the statute of limitations:

**************************************	1/1/2	2010 - 9/30/2014	10	0/1/2014 - 3/17/2019		Total
Underpayment of Electrician Wages	\$	70,409.20	\$	37,877.85	\$	08,287.05
Underpayment of Electrician Fringe	\$	27,314.64	\$	15,798.31	\$	43,112.95
Underpayment of Plumber Wages	\$	23,556.06	\$	5,180.43	\$	28,736.49
Underpayment of Plumber Fringe	\$	15,909.53	\$	3,023.82	\$	18,933.35
Underpayment of PW fringe benefits due to						
forfeited vacation	\$	2,828.55	\$	2,276.68	\$	5,105.23
1	\$	140,017.98	\$	64,157.09	\$2	204,175.07